

MEMO ENDORSED

Pro Se Intake Unit
 U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York
 40 Foley Square, Room 105
 New York, NY 10007

RECEIVED
 SONY PRO SE DEPT

2023 OCT 12 PM 2:38

Susan MatthewsCase No. 123 CV 03959 jmf

Write the full name of each plaintiff or petitioner.

-against-

NYPD, Paul Roca

Letter re:

9/18 - pro se office
 was hand delivered
 opposition to motion to
 dismiss & amended complaint

Write the full name of each defendant or respondent.

On Sept 18, 2023 I & hand delivered
 several papers amended complaint
 and opposition of motion to dismiss
 Sept 18, 2023

Counsel for defendant wrote I
 did not amend my complaint.
 The clerk gave me a paper says -
 Declaration-Sept 18 paper I submitted
 were my sworn affidavit and email evidence
 Counsel and defendant defend that Civil Rights
 EOC should be violated and does not
 matter and only minorities should get raises
 and promotions.

Dated

Name

Address

Telephone Number (if available)

Signature

Prison Identification # (if available)

E-mail Address (if available)

10/12/23
 Susan Matthews

111 Mosel Ave Staten Island NY 10304

Mediation and opportunity for other should
 remain available deep and salute
 NYPD denial of promotion + raises to
 white female 929 428 3831



Susan Matthews <suzi.tiger@gmail.com>

(no subject)

1 message

Susan Matthews <suzi.tiger@gmail.com>

To: Susan Matthews <suzi.tiger@gmail.com>

Wed, Oct 11, 2023 at 5:56 PM

docket 123cv03959 jf sn\\
 Honorable Jesse M. Furman
 United States District Judge
 United Sttes District Court
 Southern District of New York

I FORGOT TO PUT ON PAPERS SUBMITTED SEPT 18 TO PRO SE OFFICE AT 500
 PEARL ST THE WORD AMENDED AND THE CLERK IN ERROR PUT DECLARATION ON
 THERE.. WHAT I SUBMITTED WAS AN AMENDED COMPLAINT

I hand delivered my amended complaint on sept 18 to pro se office. I
 amended the complaint but Did not label it amended case. I want this
 corrected and i informed the pro se office immediately I am not an
 attorney . The papers include amended explanations regarding the
 violation of the civil rights act which counsel interprets what
 I wrote as diminished or what I expereinced doesnt really matter
 My amended complaints answers what was asked. .

She tries to diminish my experience as lies and not important. Her
 remarks and insulting comments she istry diminish the illegality just
 as nypd and inspra rsa live by and kick the eeoc 1964 law as meaning
 nothing have no importance. I ask the judge Furhman to update that this
 case was amended by me and i did not call it that and the person
 taking the papers labeled it it something a declaration in error . I
 spent time making a

sworn affidavit notarizing several important documents such s i sp
 rasa email to me in Jan 2021 I was never to write to him again as he
 was my assigned supervisor.counsel was not there for 17 years work at
 the NYPD . She fails to comprehend that I have submitted
 my statements of how this was sxreme reverse discrimination
 ; NYPD in most disgusting way violated civil rights act
 completely with constant raises and promotions to staff only based on
 candidates skin color .

She knows b=nothing according to his remarks. I have worked for NC
 since 1993. I Know as fact that it is often hard to pass a promotional
 exam and subseqywnnty be placed on a list for promotion. about 100% of
 the time one makes a number on a list, since it is far and few in
 between its a 100% sure thing one will get promoted. Staff, higher
 ups are usua;;y happy as it secures the job for employees. See the list
 I was 23 in a 25 person list. Had i been informed when my
 number came up it's customary to get the promotion.

Counselor Remarks are [ur ignorance and amount same race baiting and
 hate mongering. She's Echoing Insp rasa and he still believes he is
 doing the right thing. But hes uniformed and he obeys orders even if
 they violate the law. counsel and Inspector disrespect EEOC law.

Counselor is wrong. I submitted an amended rebuttal and it is my
 notarized affidavit. Counsel is wrong that I failed to submit, I did
 not that it is the amended rebuttal. please update.so i had several
 documents notarized and included other notarized emails .

Counselor fails to comprehend the the NYPD has a criminal scheme to
 carry out reverse discrimination. She ignored the amended complaint
 and my sworn affidavit and lis that I failed to submit an amended
 complaint which is not true. On sept 18 2023 I haND DELIVERED MY
 amended complaint to the pro se unit a 500 Pearl st . The pro se clerk
 mistakenly labeled it a declaration and I forgot to write amended
 complaint however I did notarize several pages making it my sworn

d

SUBMITTED
 09/18/23

affidavit included evidence such as emails and I request that a monetary value be negotiated and that counselor request to deny or halt mediation be denied. counselor intention is to continually disparage my pain caused by NYPD actions failure to regard federal EEOC law, intent to deny monetary settlement or halt it. Counsel and Inso are both corrupt and in deeply sad denial and uphold a lawless society where federal law should be ignored and has no importance.

I want mediation or settlement to proceed. I request in going forward an equitable and fair monetary solution to the suffering, deception, continuing lies, abuse hostility reverse discrimination, harmful ignorance of EEOC Law humiliation psychological harm which I wrote in detail in my amended complaint. Counsel's ridiculous response shockingly says nothing happened to me. though she never worked at NYPD and seems not to understand how ignoring EEOC law is a harmful violation. It is not oh so what she retired anyway. No counsel it is I was forced out and I had no choice. and etc. read the truth and cease from saying its all nothing. because you cannot and refuse to comprehend and you support NYPD violation of EEOC. My amended complaint has my sworn affidavits, and evidence and other items which were submitted by hand at pro se unit 500 Pearl ST. It was missing the title amended complaint only and don't say I failed to amend same.

Application GRANTED. ECF No. 20 is construed as Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, submitted today by grant of an extension. Accordingly, by **November 3, 2023**, Defendants are directed to (1) file an answer; (2) file a new motion to dismiss; or (3) file a letter on ECF stating that they rely on their previously filed motion to dismiss (ECF 15).

The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to terminate ECF No. 15 as moot and to mail a copy of this endorsed letter to Plaintiff.

SO ORDERED.



October 13, 2023
New York, New York

Susan Matthews

10/12/23

amended complaint was
on 9/18/23.

It was not ~~proper~~ a motion
to Dismiss - +
a sworn affidavit
+ amended complaint